½ Mile Segment Location: _Fox Street Mews and Lake Baldwin to Village Center_  Date: __7/10/11________

***PROVIDE PHOTO FOR EACH ITEM TO VERIFY, TURN IN AS PDF***

1. **Pedestrian Facilities (High):** presence of a suitable walking surface, such as a sidewalk or path.
   1. No permanent facilities; pedestrians walk in roadway or on dirt path
   2. Sidewalk on one side of road; minor discontinuities that present no real obstacle to passage
   3. Continuous sidewalk on both sides of road, or completely away from roads

2. **Pedestrian Conflicts (High):** potential for conflict with motor vehicle traffic due to driveway and loading dock crossings, speed and volume of traffic, large intersections, low pedestrian visibility.
   1. High conflict potential
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 
   5. Low conflict potential

3. **Crosswalks (High):** presence and visibility of crosswalks on roads intersecting the segment. Traffic signals meet pedestrian needs with separate ‘walk’ lights that provide sufficient crossing time.
   1. Crosswalks not present despite major intersections
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 
   5. No intersections, or crosswalks clearly marked

4. **Maintenance (Medium):** cracking, buckling, overgrown vegetation, standing water, etc. on or near walking path. Does not include temporary deficiencies likely to soon be resolved (e.g. tall grass).
   1. Major or frequent problems
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 
   5. No problems (see photo 3)
5. **Buffer (Medium):** space separating path from adjacent roadway.
   1. No buffer from roadway
   2. 
   3. 
   4. > 4 feet from roadway
   5. **Not adjacent to roadway**

6. **Aesthetics (Medium):** includes proximity of construction zones, fences, buildings, noise pollution, quality of landscaping, and pedestrian-oriented features, such as benches and water fountains.
   1. Uninviting
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 
   5. **Pleasant** (see photo 5)

7. **Shade (Medium):** amount of shade, accounting for different times of day.
   1. No shade
   2. 
   3. **Shade can be improved as the trees age** (See photo 3)
   4. 
   5. Full shade

Sum of High importance (1-3): \(15 \times 40 = 600\)  
Sum of Medium importance (4-7): \(18 \times 20 = 360\)

**Total Score:** \(960 / 100 = 96\)

88A1 +96A2= 184/2=92 avg

**Observations**
1. What is the most dangerous location along this segment?  
   Very similar circumstances to audit 1. More traffic along this route which could cost more conflicts for pedestrians.

2. What is the most unpleasant and pleasant element of this segment?  
   See above.
3. What improvements would make this segment more appropriate for pedestrian use?
Greater shade and variety of stores in the town center. Additional public transit would bring in more visitors and reduce the carbon footprint of residents.